Date: January 6, 2014

- (I) (40 pts) True or False? Score = $\max\{0, \text{Right} \frac{1}{2} \text{ Wrong}\}.$
	- (1) Let $L = \{a^i \mid i$ is a prime number $\}(\subseteq \{a\}^*)$. The complement of L, i.e., $a^* L$, is context-free but not regular. **False**
	- (2) The language $\{a^i b^j c^k \mid i, j, k \ge 0, j = max\{i, k\}\}\$ is not context-free. **True**
	- (3) The complement of the language $\{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 0\}$ is context-free. **True**
	- (4) If a language *L* and its complement \overline{L} are both context-free, then *L* must be regular. **False**
	- (5) $L = \{ \langle M \rangle | L(M)$ is infinite and M is a PDA $\}$ is recursive. **True**
	- (6) $\{w \neq w^R \mid w \in \{0,1\}^*\}$ can be accepted by a two-tape deterministic Turing machine (DTM) in $O(n)$ time. **True**
	- (7) Consider a type of automata each of which is a deterministic PDA augmented with a counter, i.e., a deterministic machine with a pushdown stack and a counter. Such automata are Turing-equivalent. **True**
	- (8) $L = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that write a blank symbol over a nonblank symbol when it runs on some input } \}$ is not recursive **True**
	- (9) $L = \{ \langle M, p, x \rangle \mid \text{ on input } x, \text{ TM } M \text{ never enters state } p \}$ is not recursive. **True**
	- (10) $\{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid \text{TM} \mid M \text{ accepts word } w \} \leq_m 0^* 1^*$, where \leq_m denotes many-one reduction. **False**
	- (11) $\{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\} \leq_m^p \{a^n b^m \mid n, m \ge 0\}$, where \leq_m^p denotes polynomial-time many-one reduction. **True**
	- $(12) \ \{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\} \leq_m \{a^n b^m \mid n, m \geq 0\}.$ **True**
	- (13) There is an undecidable subset of 0*[∗]* . **True**
	- (14) The class NP (nondeterministic polynomial time) is closed under union. **True**
	- (15) A 2-way PDA is a PDA whose input head can more in both directions. 2-way deterministic PDA accept only context-free languages. **False**
	- (16) It a function f is computable by a Turing machine that always halts, then f is primitive recursive. **False**
	- (17) $\{a^n b^n c^n d^n \mid n \ge 0\}$ is in *P* (deterministic polynomial time). **True**
	- (18) Given a context-free language L and a regular language R, the problem of deciding whether $'L = R$?" is undecidable.

True

- (19) The halting problem of TMs is NP-hard. **True**
- (20) It is known that $DSPACE(\log n) \neq DSPACE(\log^2 n)$. (\neq means "is a proper subset of".) **True**

(II) (15 pts) Let *G* be the following CFG in Chomsky Normal Form, where *S* is the start symbol:

 $S \rightarrow AB \mid CA \mid a$ $A \rightarrow BC \mid a \mid b$ $B \rightarrow CC \mid c$

$$
C \to AC \mid b
$$

J.

What follows is a partially filled table $T(i, j)$ based on the CYK parsing algorithm to decide whether a string x is in $L(G)$. $T(i, j)$ is the set of nonterminals that can derive $a_i \cdots a_j$ for an input string $x = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$, where $1 \le i \le j \le n$.

$$
\begin{array}{c|cccc} T(i,j) & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 1 & B & A & \emptyset & ? & ? \\ 2 & - & A,C & S & B,C & ? \\ i & 3 & - & - & A,S & C & B,C,S \\ 4 & - & - & - & A,C & B,C,S \\ 5 & - & - & - & - & A,C \end{array}
$$

- (a) (3 pts) What is the string *x* for this table? Why? **Sol:** *cbabb*
- (b) (9 pts) What are the three missing entries $T(1,4)$, $T(2,5)$ and $T(1,5)$? Why? **Sol:** $T(1,4) = A, C, T(2,5) = A, B, C, S$ and $T(1,5) = A, B, C, S$
- (c) (3 pts) Is $x \in L(G)$? Why? **Sol: Yes**
- (III) (15 pts) A context-free grammar is said to be *linear* if its productions are of the form $A \to \epsilon$, $A \to a$, $A \to aB$, $A \to Bb$, or $A \rightarrow aBb$, where $a, b \in \Sigma$.
	- (a) (8 pts) Prove the following pumping lemma for linear languages: *Let L be a linear language. Then there exists a positive integer n such that for every* $w \in L$ *with* $|w| \ge n$, *w can* be decomposed into $w = uvxyz$ such that (1) $|uvyz| \le n$, (2) $|vy| \ge 1$ and (3) $uv^ixy^iz \in L$, for all $i \ge 0$. (Note that *x* can be of arbitrary length.) **Proof**

(b) (7 pts) Use the above pumping lemma to show that linear languages are not closed under concatenation, i.e., given linear languages *A* and *B*, *A · B* need not be linear. (Hint: consider $\{0^n1^n \mid n \ge 1\}$.) **Proof**

Consider $\{0^n1^n \mid n \geq 1\} \cdot \{0^n1^n \mid n \geq 1\} = \{0^n1^n0^m1^m \mid n, m \geq 1\}.$ The rest is easy.

(IV) (5 pts) Let $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ be a recursive language, and $B = \{x \mid \exists w \in \Sigma^*, xw \in A\}$, i.e., B consists of all strings that are prefixes of strings in *A*. Prove that *B* is recursively enumerable. **Proof**

Let s_1, s_2, \ldots be all strings in Σ^* . On input *x*, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, run *M* on xs_i , if *M* accepts then accept; otherwise go to the next *i*.

(V) (5 pts) Is the following statement true? Justify your answer. *Given a recursively enumerable language A*, if $A \leq_m \bar{A}$, then *A* is recursive. (Here $\bar{A} = \sum^* - A$.) **Sol: Yes** Note that $A \leq_m \bar{A} \Rightarrow \bar{A} \leq_m A$; hence \bar{A} is r.e. *A* and \bar{A} being r.e. imply *A* is recursive.

- (VI) (10 pts) Let $B = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM}, L(M) = \{ \epsilon \} \}$. Suppose you want to show that $\{(M, w) \mid M \text{ is a TM}, M \text{ accepts } w\} \leq_m B \text{ using a reduction } f \text{ that maps } \langle M, w \rangle \text{ to } M_1.$
	- (a) Fill in the blanks (i.e., *A*1 and *A*2) in the following two statements in a way that states what you have to do to make the reduction work.
		- If *M* accepts *w*, then $\dots \dots L(M_1) = \{e\} \dots$
		- If *M* does not accept *w*, then $\ldots \ldots \textbf{L}(\textbf{M}_1) \neq {\epsilon}$
	- (b) Given *M* and *w*, give the definition of the desired TM *M*¹ by filling in the blanks (i.e., *A*3 *− A*5) in the following
		- $M_1 = "On input x$

If $x \neq \epsilon$,**Reject**.........

- If $x = \epsilon$, simulate M on w
	- If *M* accepts *w*, *........***Accept***.........*
	- If *M* rejects *w*, *.........***Reject***.........*"
- (VII) (10 pts) Classify the following languages into **(1)** *recursive***, (2)** *recursively enumerable* **(i.e.,** *r.e.***) but not recursive, (3)** *co-r.e.* **but not recursive,** and **(4) none of the above.** Proofs are not needed.
	- (a) $L_1 = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing Machine that accepts some string in 0[*] \}.$ **Sol: (2)** This language is undecidable by Rice's theorem. It is r.e.. A nondeterministic TM can guess a string *w* in 0*[∗]* and then run *M* on it.
	- (b) *L*² = *{⟨M⟩ | M* is a Turing Machine that does not accept any palindrome *}*. Recall that a palindrome is a word *w* such that $w = w^R$ (w^R is the reversal of *w*). Sol: (3) This language is undecidable by Rice's theorem. It is co-r.e.. A nondeterministic TM can guess a palindrome accepted by *M* and verify it by running *M* on it. So if $M \in \overline{L}2$, it can be accepted by the NTM. It is not r.e. because if it were, it would be decidable.
	- (c) $L_3 = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing Machine that terminates on some input in 1000 steps} \}.$ **Sol: (1)** This is decidable. Since *M* has to terminate in 1000 steps, at most 1000 symbols of the input strings are relevant. So one could try them all exhaustively and run 1000 steps of *M* to see if it terminates.
	- (d) $L_4 = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing Machine that accepts 1 and does not accept 0} \}.$ **Sol:** (4) Let $L_u = \{(M, w) \mid M \text{ accepts } w\}$. We first show $\overline{L}_u \leq_m L_4$ by the following

On input M,w:

Return the following machine: R: on input x if $x = 1$ then accept else Run M on w if M accepts then accept.

We now show $\overline{L}_u \leq_m \overline{L}_4$ by the following

On input M,w: Return the following machine: R: on input x if $x = 0$ then reject else Run M on w if M accepts then accept.

Since $\bar{L_u}$ is not r.e., the answer follows.

(e) $L_5 = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a linear bounded automaton that does not halt on } w \}.$

Sol: (1) L_5 is decidable. Note that a linear-bounded automata can be in at most qng^n possible configurations, where $|w| = n$, g is the size of the tape alphabet and q is the number of states. We can decide L5 using a TM that simulates the LBA. If the LBA accepts or rejects within *qngⁿ* steps, then the TM halts and rejects, since *M, w* is not in *L*5. Otherwise TM accepts *M, w*, since *M* does not halt on *w*. Since the TM always halts and correctly decides the question, L5 is decidable.