Date: January 6, 2014

- (I) (40 pts) True or False? Score = max{0, Right  $\frac{1}{2}$  Wrong}.
  - (1) Let  $L = \{a^i \mid i \text{ is a prime number }\} (\subseteq \{a\}^*)$ . The complement of L, i.e.,  $a^* L$ , is context-free but not regular. False
  - (2) The language  $\{a^i b^j c^k \mid i, j, k \ge 0, \ j = max\{i, k\}\}$  is not context-free. True
  - (3) The complement of the language  $\{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge 0\}$  is context-free. True
  - (4) If a language L and its complement  $\overline{L}$  are both context-free, then L must be regular. False
  - (5)  $L = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ is infinite and } M \text{ is a PDA } \}$  is recursive. True
  - (6)  $\{w \# w^R \mid w \in \{0,1\}^*\}$  can be accepted by a two-tape deterministic Turing machine (DTM) in O(n) time. True
  - (7) Consider a type of automata each of which is a deterministic PDA augmented with a counter, i.e., a deterministic machine with a pushdown stack and a counter. Such automata are Turing-equivalent. True
  - (8)  $L = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that write a blank symbol over a nonblank symbol when it runs on some input} is not recursive$ **True**
  - (9)  $L = \{ \langle M, p, x \rangle \mid \text{ on input } x, \text{TM } M \text{ never enters state } p \}$  is not recursive. True
  - (10)  $\{\langle M, w \rangle \mid \text{TM } M \text{ accepts word } w\} \leq_m 0^* 1^*$ , where  $\leq_m$  denotes many-one reduction. False
  - (11)  $\{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\} \le_m^p \{a^n b^m \mid n, m \ge 0\}$ , where  $\le_m^p$  denotes polynomial-time many-one reduction. True
  - (12)  $\{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\} \le_m \{a^n b^m \mid n, m \ge 0\}.$ True
  - (13) There is an undecidable subset of  $0^*$ . True
  - (14) The class NP (nondeterministic polynomial time) is closed under union. True
  - (15) A 2-way PDA is a PDA whose input head can more in both directions. 2-way deterministic PDA accept only context-free languages. False
  - (16) It a function f is computable by a Turing machine that always halts, then f is primitive recursive. False
  - (17)  $\{a^n b^n c^n d^n \mid n \ge 0\}$  is in P (deterministic polynomial time). True
  - (18) Given a context-free language L and a regular language R, the problem of deciding whether "L = R?" is undecidable. **True**
  - (19) The halting problem of TMs is NP-hard.  $${\bf True}$$
  - (20) It is known that  $DSPACE(\log n) \stackrel{\subset}{\neq} DSPACE(\log^2 n)$ . ( $\stackrel{\subseteq}{\neq}$  means "is a proper subset of".) **True**

(II) (15 pts) Let G be the following CFG in Chomsky Normal Form, where S is the start symbol:

 $B \rightarrow CC \mid c$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} D \\ C \\ C \\ \end{array} \rightarrow AC \\ | \\ b \end{array}$$

What follows is a partially filled table T(i, j) based on the CYK parsing algorithm to decide whether a string x is in L(G). T(i, j) is the set of nonterminals that can derive  $a_i \cdots a_j$  for an input string  $x = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ , where  $1 \le i \le j \le n$ .

- (a) (3 pts) What is the string x for this table? Why?Sol: cbabb
- (b) (9 pts) What are the three missing entries T(1, 4), T(2, 5) and T(1, 5)? Why? **Sol:** T(1, 4) = A, C, T(2, 5) = A, B, C, S **and** T(1, 5) = A, B, C, S
- (c) (3 pts) Is  $x \in L(G)$ ? Why? Sol: Yes
- (III) (15 pts) A context-free grammar is said to be *linear* if its productions are of the form  $A \to \epsilon$ ,  $A \to a$ ,  $A \to aB$ ,  $A \to Bb$ , or  $A \to aBb$ , where  $a, b \in \Sigma$ .
  - (a) (8 pts) Prove the following pumping lemma for linear languages:
    Let L be a linear language. Then there exists a positive integer n such that for every w ∈ L with |w| ≥ n, w can be decomposed into w = uvxyz such that (1) |uvyz| ≤ n, (2) |vy| ≥ 1 and (3) uv<sup>i</sup>xy<sup>i</sup>z ∈ L, for all i ≥ 0. (Note that x can be of arbitrary length.)
    Proof



(b) (7 pts) Use the above pumping lemma to show that linear languages are not closed under concatenation, i.e., given linear languages A and B,  $A \cdot B$  need not be linear. (Hint: consider  $\{0^n1^n \mid n \ge 1\}$ .) **Proof** 

Consider  $\{0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 1\} \cdot \{0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 1\} = \{0^n 1^n 0^m 1^m \mid n, m \ge 1\}$ . The rest is easy.

(IV) (5 pts) Let A ⊆ Σ\* be a recursive language, and B = {x | ∃w ∈ Σ\*, xw ∈ A}, i.e., B consists of all strings that are prefixes of strings in A. Prove that B is recursively enumerable.
Proof
Let a a back back at a for i = 1.2 and M on malif. M accents then accents otherwise go to be all strings in Σ\*. On input m for i = 1.2 and M on malif.

Let  $s_1, s_2, ...$  be all strings in  $\Sigma^*$ . On input x, for i = 1, 2, ..., run M on  $xs_i$ , if M accepts then accept; otherwise go to the next i.

(V) (5 pts) Is the following statement true? Justify your answer. Given a recursively enumerable language A, if  $A \leq_m \bar{A}$ , then A is recursive. (Here  $\bar{A} = \Sigma^* - A$ .) Sol: Yes Note that  $A \leq_m \bar{A} \Rightarrow \bar{A} \leq_m A$ ; hence  $\bar{A}$  is r.e. A and  $\bar{A}$  being r.e. imply A is recursive.

- (VI) (10 pts) Let  $B = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM}, L(M) = \{\epsilon\}\}$ . Suppose you want to show that  $\{\langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM}, M \text{ accepts } w\} \leq_m B \text{ using a reduction } f \text{ that maps } \langle M, w \rangle \text{ to } M_1.$ 
  - (a) Fill in the blanks (i.e., A1 and A2) in the following two statements in a way that states what you have to do to make the reduction work.
    - If M accepts w, then ..... $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{M_1}) = \{\epsilon\}$ .....
    - If M does not accept w, then ...... $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{M_1}) \neq \{\epsilon\}$ .....
  - (b) Given M and w, give the definition of the desired TM  $M_1$  by filling in the blanks (i.e., A3 A5) in the following
    - $M_1 =$  "On input x
      - If  $x \neq \epsilon$ , ......Reject.....
      - If  $x = \epsilon$ , simulate M on w
        - If M accepts w, ......Accept....
        - If M rejects w, ......Reject......."
- (VII) (10 pts) Classify the following languages into (1) recursive, (2) recursively enumerable (i.e., r.e.) but not recursive, (3) co-r.e. but not recursive, and (4) none of the above. Proofs are not needed.
  - (a) L<sub>1</sub> = {⟨M⟩ | M is a Turing Machine that accepts some string in 0\*}.
    Sol: (2) This language is undecidable by Rice's theorem. It is r.e.. A nondeterministic TM can guess a string w in 0\* and then run M on it.
  - (b) L<sub>2</sub> = {⟨M⟩ | M is a Turing Machine that does not accept any palindrome }. Recall that a palindrome is a word w such that w = w<sup>R</sup> (w<sup>R</sup> is the reversal of w ).
    Sol: (3) This language is undecidable by Rice's theorem. It is co-r.e.. A nondeterministic TM can guess a palindrome accepted by M and verify it by running M on it. So if M ∈ L2, it can be accepted by the NTM. It is not r.e. because if it were, it would be decidable.
  - (c)  $L_3 = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing Machine that terminates on some input in 1000 steps}\}$ . Sol: (1) This is decidable. Since M has to terminate in 1000 steps, at most 1000 symbols of the input strings are relevant. So one could try them all exhaustively and run 1000 steps of M to see if it terminates.
  - (d)  $L_4 = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing Machine that accepts 1 and does not accept 0} \}.$ Sol: (4) Let  $L_u = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts } w \}$ . We first show  $\overline{L_u} \leq_m L_4$  by the following

On input M,w:

Return the following machine: R: on input x if x = 1 then accept else Run M on w if M accepts then accept.

We now show  $\overline{L_u} \leq_m \overline{L_4}$  by the following

On input M,w: Return the following machine: R: on input x if x = 0 then reject else Run M on w if M accepts then accept.

Since  $\overline{L_u}$  is not r.e., the answer follows.

(e)  $L_5 = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a linear bounded automaton that does not halt on } w \}.$ 

Sol: (1)  $L_5$  is decidable. Note that a linear-bounded automata can be in at most  $qng^n$  possible configurations, where |w| = n, g is the size of the tape alphabet and q is the number of states. We can decide L5 using a TM that simulates the LBA. If the LBA accepts or rejects within  $qng^n$  steps, then the TM halts and rejects, since M, wis not in  $L_5$ . Otherwise TM accepts M, w, since M does not halt on w. Since the TM always halts and correctly decides the question, L5 is decidable.