

# Theory of Computation

## Final Exam, Fall 2009

Name: .....

Student I.D. ....*KEY*.....

1. (24 pts) Determine whether the following classes of languages are closed under the specified operations. (Mark 'O' for yes; 'x' for no.  $Score = \{Right - \frac{1}{2}Wrong\}$ .)

	CFL	recursive	r.e.
$\cap$	x	O	O
$\cup$	O	O	O
* (star)	O	O	O
complementation	x	O	x
reversal	O	O	O
concatenation	O	O	O
difference	x	O	x
homomorphism	O	x	O

**(NOTE: To show recursive languages not closed under homomorphism, consider the language  $L = \{\langle M, w, a^i \rangle \mid \text{TM } M \text{ accepts } w \text{ in } i \text{ steps}\}$ , which is recursive. However, if a homomorphism  $h$  maps  $a$  to  $\epsilon$  while keeping the remaining symbols intact,  $h(L) = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid \text{TM } M \text{ accepts } w\}$  which is not recursive.**

2. (27 pts) Determine whether the following problems are decidable for the specified classes of languages. (Mark 'O' for decidable; 'x' for undecidable.  $Score = \{Right - \frac{1}{2}Wrong\}$ .)

	CFL	recursive	r.e.
$w \in L?$	O	O	x
$L \neq \emptyset?$	O	x	x
$L = \Sigma^*?$	x	x	x
$L_1 = L_2?$	x	x	x
$L_1 \subseteq L_2?$	x	x	x
$L_1 \cup L_2 = \Sigma^*?$	x	x	x
$L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset?$	x	x	x
Is $L_1 = R$ , for some given regular language $R$ ?	x	x	x
Is $L_1 \cap R = \emptyset$ , for some given regular language $R$ ?	O	x	x

3. (15 pts)

(a) (5 pts) Define (*many-one*) *mapping reduction*  $L \leq_m L'$  formally, where  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  and  $L' \subseteq \Gamma^*$ .

(Ans.) **There exists a total computable function  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  such that  $w \in L$  iff  $f(w) \in L'$ .**

(b) (10 pts) Let  $B = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a Turing machine and } L(M) \neq \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}\}$ . Suppose we want to show that  $L_u \leq_m B$ , where  $L_u = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid \text{Turing machine } M \text{ accepts } w\}$ . We use the following mapping from  $(M, w)$  to  $M'$ :

Given  $M$  and  $w$ , construct  $M' = \text{"On input } x\text{"}$

(1) If  $x \in \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ ,  $M'$  .....*ACCEPTS*.....

(2) If  $x \notin \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ , run  $M$  on  $w$

If  $M$  .....*ACCEPTS*....., then  $M'$  .....*ACCEPTS*.....

*Fill in "accepts" or "rejects" for each of the above three blanks.*

Then we can conclude that

- If  $M$  accepts  $w$ , then  $L(M') \dots\dots \neq \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\} \dots\dots\dots$
- If  $M$  does not accept  $w$ , then  $L(M') \dots\dots = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\} \dots\dots\dots$

4. (9 pts) Given an alphabet  $\Sigma$ , is it true that  $L(\subseteq \Sigma^*)$  is recursive if and only if  $L \leq_m 011^*$ ? Justify your answer in a rigorous way.

(Ans.) **Define the following function  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \{01, 11\}$  with  $f(w) = 01$  if  $w \in L$ ; otherwise,  $f(w) = 11$ . Clearly  $f$  is a total computable function since  $L$  is recursive. (One can think of  $f$  as a TM that decides the membership of  $L$ , and if accepts (resp., rejects), outputs 01 (resp., 11).) Hence,  $L \leq_m 011^*$ .**

5. (10 pts) A *palindrome* is a string  $x$  such that  $x = x^R$  (e.g., *abccba*). Given a context-free language  $L$ , show that it is undecidable whether  $L$  contains a palindrome. (Hint: use PCP.)

(Ans.) **Let  $\{(x_i, y_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be an instance of the PCP problem, where  $x_i, y_i \in \Sigma^*$ . We construct the following CFG  $G = (V, T, P, S)$**

- (a)  $V = \{S, S_x S_y\} \cup \{X_i, Y_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$
- (b)  $T = \{a_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{\#\} \cup \Sigma$
- (c)  $P$ :
  - $S \rightarrow S_x \# S_y$
  - $S_x \rightarrow a_i S_x x_i \mid a_i x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$
  - $S_y \rightarrow (y_i)^R S_y a_i \mid (y_i)^R a_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$

**It is not hard to see that  $G$  generates a palindrome iff the PCP instance has a solution.**

6. (15 pts)

(a) (5 pts) State *Ogden's lemma*.

(b) (10 pts) Use Ogden's lemma to prove that  $L = \{a^n b^n c^i \mid i \neq n\}$  is not context-free. (Hint: consider a string of the form  $a^n b^n c^{n+n!}$ .)

(Ans.) **If  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is context-free, then there exists a constant  $n$  such that for every  $w \in L$  with  $|w| \geq n$  and we mark  $n$  or more positions of  $w$ ,  $w$  can be written as  $w = uvxyz$ , for some  $u, v, x, y, z$ , such that**

- (a)  $v$  and  $y$  together have at least one marked position,
- (b)  $vxy$  has at most  $n$  marked positions, and
- (c) for all  $i \geq 0$ ,  $uv^i xy^i z \in L$

**Assume that  $L = \{a^n b^n c^i \mid i \neq n\}$  were context-free. Let  $n$  be the constant guaranteed by Ogden's lemma. Consider  $a^n b^n c^{n+n!} (\in L)$ , and we mark all the  $a$ 's in  $a^n$ . Suppose  $a^n b^n c^{n+n!} = uvxyz$ . Consider the following cases:**

- (a)  $v$  (or  $y$ ) contains more than one type of symbols. Then  $uv^2 xy^2 z$  is clearly not in  $L$ ,
- (b)  $vxy$  is in  $a^n$ . Then  $uv^2 xy^2 z$  contains more  $a$  than  $b$ , which is clearly not in  $L$ ,
- (c)  $v$  is in  $a^n$  and  $y$  is in  $b^n$  but  $|v| \neq |y|$ . Clearly  $uv^2 xy^2 z$  is not in  $L$ ,
- (d)  $v$  is in  $a^n$  and  $y$  is in  $b^n$  and  $|v| = |y| = p$ , where  $1 \leq p < n$ . Let  $v = a^p, y = b^p$ . Consider  $uv^{1+\frac{n!}{p}} xy^{1+\frac{n!}{p}} z = a^{n-p} (a^p)^{1+\frac{n!}{p}} b^{n-p} (b^p)^{1+\frac{n!}{p}} c^{n+n!} = a^{n+n!} b^{n+n!} c^{n+n!} \notin L$ .