

Theory of Computation
Final Exam. 2007

NAME:

Student ID.:

1 (72 pts)) True or false? (Score = Right - $\frac{1}{2}$ Wrong.) Mark 'O' for true; 'x' for false.

1.O..... $\{a^i | i \text{ is prime}\}$ is not context free.
2.X..... $\{(a^n b)^n | n \geq 1\}$ is context free.
3.X..... $\{(a^n b)^m | m, n \geq 1\}$ is context free.
4.O..... If L_1 is context free and L_2 is regular, then L_1/L_2 is context free. (Note that $L_1/L_2 = \{x \mid \exists y \in L_2, xy \in L_1\}$)
5.X..... If L_1/L_2 and L_1 are context free, then L_2 must be recursive.
6.X..... If L_1 and $L_1 \cup L_2$ are context free, then L_2 must be context free.
7.O..... If L_1 is context free and L_2 is regular, then $L_1 - L_2$ is context free.
8.X..... If L_1 is regular and L_2 is context free, then $L_1 - L_2$ is context free.
9.O..... If L_1 is regular and L_2 is context-free, then $L_1 \cap L_2$ must be a CFL.
10.O..... If L_1 and L_2 are CFLs, then $L_1 \cup L_2$ must be a CFL.
11.O..... If L is context free, then $L^R (= \{x^R | x \in L\})$ is also context free.
12.X..... Nondeterministic and deterministic versions of PDAs are equivalent.
13.O..... If a language L does not satisfy the conditions stated in the pumping lemma for CFLs, then L is not context-free.
14.X..... Every infinite set of strings over a single letter alphabet $\Sigma (= \{a\})$ contains an infinite context free subset.
15.X..... Every infinite context-free set contains an infinite regular subset.
16.O..... A language can be accepted by a nondeterministic pushdown automaton iff it can be generated by a context-free grammar.
17.O..... Right-linear grammars are special cases of context-free grammars.
18.X..... If both L and \bar{L} are context-free, then L must be regular.
19.O..... There is a language L which is context-free but not regular such that \bar{L} is also context-free.
20.O..... $\{xxxx | x \in \{0,1\}^*\}$ can be accepted by a deterministic 2-counter machine.
21.O..... Given a TM M whose tape head can move left, right, or stay stationary, the problem of determining whether M ever executes a stationary move is undecidable. (A stationary move is a transition without moving the tape head.)
22.O..... Given a TM M , the problem of determining ' $L(M) = \emptyset$?' is undecidable.

23.O..... Given two languages L_1 and L_2 , if $L_1 \leq_m \bar{L}_2$, then $\bar{L}_1 \leq_m L_2$. (\leq_m denotes many-one reduction.)
24.O..... If L_1 and L_2 are r.e., so is $L_1 L_2$.
25.O..... If L_1 and L_2 are recursive, so is $L_1 - L_2$.
26.X..... The language $\{ \langle M, x \rangle \mid \text{TM } M \text{ does not accept input } x \}$ is r.e. ($\langle M, x \rangle$ denotes the encoding of the pair M, x .)
27.X..... There exists a language L such that L is context free but \bar{L} is not recursive.
28.O..... Given a PDA M , the problem of determining whether M accepts an infinite language is decidable.
29.X..... Given two PDA M_1 and M_2 , the problem of determining whether $L(M_1) \cap L(M_2) = \emptyset$ is decidable.
30.X..... Given two regular languages L_1 and L_2 , the problem ‘Is $L_2 - L_1 = \emptyset$?’ is undecidable.
31.X..... Let L_1 be regular and L_2 recursively enumerable. Then $L_1 \cap L_2$ is always recursive.
32.X..... The union of infinitely many recursive languages is an r.e. language.
33.X..... Given an input x and a multi-tape DTM M , the problem of determining whether M ever reads x ’s right-most symbol is decidable.
34.X..... The family of languages accepted by deterministic TMs is closed under complement.
35.O..... Given a TM M and an input w , the problem of determining whether M (on input w) enters some state more than 100 times is decidable.
36.X..... Every infinite subset of an infinite non-regular language is non-regular.
37.O..... If L_1 and L_2 are context-free languages, then $L_1 \cap L_2$ must be a recursive language.
38.X..... If L_1 and L_3 are r.e. languages and $L_1 - L_2 = L_3$, then L_2 must be an r.e. language.
39.X..... Let L_1 and L_2 be two languages over Σ . If $L_1 \leq_p^m L_2$ and $L_2 \leq_p^m L_1$, then $L_1 = L_2$. (\leq_p^m denotes polynomial-time many-one reduction.)
40.X..... Given a recursive language L , the problem of determining whether $L = \emptyset$ is decidable.
41.X..... $\{ \langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ is regular, } M \text{ is a TM} \}$ is recursive. ($\langle M \rangle$ denotes the encoding of TM M .)
42.X..... $\{ L \mid L = \Sigma^* \text{ or } L = \emptyset \}$ is a trivial property of r.e. sets.
43.O/X..... Given a TM M and an input x , it is decidable whether M ever makes two consecutive right-moves (i.e., a right-move followed by a right-move immediately) during the course of its computation on input x .
44.X..... Given a TM M and a symbol $x \in \Sigma$, it is decidable whether M (starting on a blank tape) ever writes x on its tape.
45.O..... Every primitive recursive function is a total function.
46.O..... Ackermann’s function is a total recursive function.
47.O..... Nondeterministic 1-counter machines are less powerful than deterministic 2-counter machines.

48.X..... Given a context-free language L_1 and a recursive language L_2 , it is undecidable whether $L_1 \subseteq L_2$.
49.X..... Rice's theorem is a useful tool for showing a language to be recursive.
50.X..... If L and L^R (the reversal of L) are both in r.e., then L must be recursive.
51.X..... Given a recursive set L and a regular set R , it is decidable whether $L \subseteq R$.
52.X..... Given a recursive set L and a regular set R , it is decidable whether $R \subseteq L$.
53.O..... Given a nondeterministic finite automaton M it is decidable whether the language accepted by M is finite or not.
54.O..... The L_u language (i.e., the universal language) is many-one reducible to the PCP language (the language associated with the Post correspondence problem).
55.O..... Given a left-linear grammar G , it is decidable whether $L(G) = \Sigma^*$.
56.O..... Recursive languages are closed under Kleene star (i.e., if L is recursive, so is L^*).
57.O..... Recursively enumerable languages are closed under Kleene star.
58.O..... The function $f(n) = 2^{f(n-1)}$, $n \geq 1$; $f(0) = 1$ is primitive recursive.
59.X..... For every language $L \subseteq 0^*$, L is always r.e.
60.X..... Every total function $f : N \rightarrow N$ is a recursive function. (f is total if $f(x)$ is defined for every $x \in N$.)
61.X..... With respect to a given input, checking whether a C program terminates or not is decidable.
62.O..... The language $\{a^n b^m c^n d^m \mid m, n \geq 1\}$ can be accepted by a deterministic TM in polynomial time (i.e., in P).
63.O..... $\{(a^i b^i)^j \mid i, j \in N\}$ is in P.
64.O..... The class of NP languages is closed under intersection.
65.O..... The class of NP languages is closed under concatenation.
66.O..... For every language $L \in P$, $L \leq_p^m 3SAT$.
67.X..... If $L = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_i$, and each $L_i \in NP$, then $L \in NP$.
68.X..... Given a context-free grammar G and a word x , the problem 'Is $x \in L(G)$?' is NP-complete.
69.X..... If $\{ww^R \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$ is solvable in polynomial time, then $P = NP$. (w^R denotes the reversal of word w .)
70.X..... If $L_2 \subseteq L_1$, and L_2 is NP-hard, then L_1 must be NP-hard as well.
71.X..... The minimum spanning tree problem is NP-complete.
72.X..... If some NP-complete language is solvable in polynomial time, then the PCP problem becomes solvable (i.e., recursive).

2. (20 pts) Let L_1 and L_2 be languages in the respective language class, and let R be a regular language, and x be a given word over alphabet Σ . Choosing from among (D) decidable, (U) undecidable, (?) open problem, categorize each of the following decision problems. No proofs are required. No penalty for wrong answer.

Language class / Problem	regular	context-free	recursive	r.e.
$L_1 \cup L_2 = \Sigma^*$?	D	U	U	U
$x \in L_1$?	D	D	D	U
$R \subseteq L_1$?	D	U	U	U
$L_1 - R = \emptyset$?	D	D	U	U
$\exists y \in L_1, y \leq 5$?	D	D	D	U

($|y|$ denotes the length of y .)

3. (8 pts) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (the set of natural numbers), let C_n be a subset of NP which is closed under polynomial-time many-one reduction (i.e., if $L_1 \leq_p^m L_2$ and $L_2 \in C_n$, then $L_1 \in C_n$).

Assume also that each $C_n \subsetneq C_{n+1}$, and let $C = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n$. Show that $C \neq NP$. Give a brief but convincing argument.

(Proof sketch)

It is known that NP contains some complete languages such as 3SAT. If $C = NP$, then $3SAT \in C_j$, for some j . Due to the definition of "completeness", $\forall L \in C (= NP), L \leq_p^m 3SAT$. This implies that $\forall L' \in C_{j+1} (\subseteq C), L' \leq_p^m 3SAT$; hence, $L' \in C_j$. We have that $C_{j+1} = C_j$ - a contradiction.