- 1. (15 pts) True or False? Give a short yet convincing argument.
  - (1) Let f be any morphism from Σ\* to Σ\*. Then for any language R over Σ, R is a context-free language if and only if {f(w) : w ∈ R} is a context-free language.
    Sol. False. Consider f(a) = f(b) = ε. Then f({a<sup>n</sup>b<sup>n</sup>a<sup>n</sup> | n ≥ 1} = {ε}, which is CF. But {a<sup>n</sup>b<sup>n</sup>a<sup>n</sup> | n ≥ 1} is not CF.
  - (2) Given a context-free language L and a regular language R, checking " $L R = \emptyset$ ?" is decidable. The "-" operator denotes the set difference.

**Sol.** True.  $L - R = L \cap \overline{R}$ , which is CF. It is decidable whether a CFL is empty or not. @

- (3)  $A_{TM} \leq_m \{0^n 1^n | n \geq 0\}$ , where  $\leq_m$  stands for the many-one reduction. Sol. False.  $\{0^n 1^n | n \geq 0\}$  is CF, which is a recursive language. If the reduction holds, then  $A_{TM}$  is recursive, which is a contradiction.
- (4) If  $co-NP \subseteq NP$ , then co-NP = NP. Here co-NP is the complement of NP. Sol. True. Consider an  $X \in NP$ , then  $\overline{X} \in co - NP$  (by definition), and hence,  $\overline{X} \in NP$  (since  $co-NP \subseteq NP$ ). Therefore,  $X \in co - NP$  (by definition). We thus have  $X \in NP \Rightarrow X \in co - NP$ , which implies  $NP \subseteq co - NP$ .
- (5) The language {  $\langle M, x, 1^t \rangle | M$  is a deterministic TM which accepts x in t steps } is in P (i.e., polynomial time). Sol. True. Design a DTM M', when given  $M, x, 1^t$  as its input, simulate M on x (in a way like a universal TM) for at most t steps and accept if M(x) accepts within that time bound.
- 2. (15 pts) Consider the following grammar G in Chomsky Normal Form:

 $S \to a \mid YZ;$   $Z \to a \mid ZY;$   $Y \to b \mid ZZ \mid YY$ 

where S is the start symbol. Use the CYK (dynamic programming) algorithm to determine whether the string babba is in L(G) or not. To this end, we define  $X_{ij}$  to be the set of nonterminals that can derive the substring from positions i to j, where  $i \leq j$ . For example, the substring from positions 2 to 4 is *abb*, and from positions 4 to 5 is *ba*. Complete those  $X_{ij}$  entries in the following table:

	$X_{ij}$	1	2	3	4	5	Hence, $babba \notin L(G)$ .
	1	Y	S	S	S	Y	
ſ	2	-	S, Z	Z	Z	Y	
	3	-	-	Y	Y	S	
	4	-	-	-	Y	S	
	5	-	-	-	-	S, Z	

3. (20 pts) Consider the following language classes numbered as (C1)-(C7).

(C1) Empty; (C2) Finite (FI); (C3) Regular (REG); (C4) Context-free (CF);

(C5) Recursive (rec); (C6) Recursively enumerable (r.e.); (C7) All languages.

For each of the following languages, give the *lowest numbered* class to which it <u>surely</u> belongs. No explanations needed. For example, if a language is context-free but not regular, then answer "C4".

**Sol.** (1) C5 (Consider  $\Sigma^* - \overline{\{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge q\}}$  (2) C5  $(L_1 - L_2$  is also recursive) (3) C7 (Consider  $\Sigma^* - A_{TM}$ ) (4) C6  $(L_1 - L_2$  is also r.e.) (5) C7 (Consider  $\Sigma^* - A_{TM}$ ) (6) C5 (the language is recursive but not CF) (7) C7 (Consider  $EQ_{TM}$ ) (8) C5  $(L_1 \cap L_2$  is also recursive) (9) C5 (Consider  $\{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \ge q\}$  whose complement is CF) (10) C5 (rec is closed under Kleene star).

- 4. (25 pts) For each of the following languages, decide whether it is decidable or not. Give a convincing argument. To show a language to be undecidable, do not use Rice's theorem. TMs are assumed to be deterministic.
  - (a)  $L_1 = \{ \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \mid |L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)| = 2 \}$ , i.e., the set of pairs of TMs such that the intersection of their languages contains exactly two strings.
    - **Sol.** Undecidable. Given  $\langle M, x \rangle$ ,
      - $M_1$ : on input y, run M on x, accepts if M accepts x;
      - $M_2$ : a TM that accepts two strings.

Clearly,  $\langle M, x \rangle \in A_{TM}$  @iff  $|L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)| = 2$ , i.e.,  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \in L_1$ .

- (b)  $L_2 = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ halts on at least one input } \}.$ Sol. Undecidable. Given  $\langle M, x \rangle$ ,
  - M': on input y, run M on x.

Clearly,  $\langle M, x \rangle \in HALT_{TM}$  iff  $\langle M' \rangle \in L_2$ .

(c)  $L_3 = \{ \langle M, x \rangle \mid M \text{ reads from more than 100 tape cells in accepting input } x \}.$ Sol. Undecidable. Given  $\langle M, x \rangle$ ,

•  $M', \epsilon$ : move right to read 100 symbols and then reset its head; then run M on x, accept if M accepts x.

Clearly,  $\langle M, x \rangle \in A_{TM}$  iff  $\langle M', \epsilon \rangle \in L_3$ .

(d)  $L_4 = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ never enters a specific state on any input } \}.$ Sol. Undecidable. Given  $\langle M, x \rangle$ ,

• M': on input y, run M on x, if M accepts x then enters a specific new state s not in M.

Clearly,  $\langle M, x \rangle \in A_{TM}$  iff  $\langle M' \rangle \in \overline{L_4}$ .

- (e)  $L_5 = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ enters some state more than 100 times when give a blank tape } \}$ . Sol. Decidable. Suppose M has n states. Simulate M on the blank tape,
  - Case 1: *M* halts before 100*n* steps. if *M* enters some state more than 100 times, then answer "YES"; otherwise answer "NO".
  - Case 2: *M* does not halt after 100*n* steps, answer "YES".
- 5. (15 pts)
  - (a) (7 pts) Consider UNARY-PCP which is the subset of PCP where the instance's alphabet  $\Sigma$  has a single letter. That is, given  $\{(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_k, y_k)\}$ , where  $x_i, y_i \in \{a\}^*$ , decide whether there exists  $i_1, i_2, ..., i_h$  such that  $x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_h} = y_{i_1}y_{i_2}\cdots y_{i_h}$ . Is Unary-PCP decidable? Justify your answer.

**Sol.** Given a set of dominoes with a string in  $a^*$  on the top and another string in  $a^*$  on the bottom, i.e., of the form  $(a^i, a^j)$ , we decide whether this set is in PCP as follows.

- If a domino of type  $(a^i, a^i)$  exists, answer "YES";
- If every domino of type  $(a^i, a^j)$  has i > j (or i < j), answer "NO";
- Otherwise, there must exist two domino types  $(a^{b+c}, a^b)$  and  $(a^d, a^{d+e})$  with c, e > 0. As e copies of the first domino and c copies of the second give up a match because there are be + ce + cd a's in the top string and the bottom string. Thus, answer "YES".
- (b) (8 pts) Consider *Binary-PCP* which is the subset of PCP when  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . Is Binary-PCP decidable? Justify your answer.

**Sol.** Suppose the original PCP P is over alphabet  $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$ . We define a PCP P' over alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$  in the following way. PCP P' is constructed from P by replacing symbol  $a_i$  by  $10^i$ . For example, a pair  $(a_3a_2, a_1a_4a_2)$  maps to (1000100, 1010000100).

- 6. (10 pts) Suppose we want to use the pumping lemma to prove that  $L = \{x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid N_a(x) < N_b(x) < 2N_a(x)\}$  is not context-free, where  $N_a(x)$  (resp.,  $N_b(x)$ ) is the number of *a*'s (resp., *b*'s) in *x*. The proof starts with picking the word  $s = a^{p+1}b^{2p+1} \in L$ , where *p* is the pumping constant. Now *s* can be partitioned into *uvxyz* meeting the conditions of the pumping lemma. The arguments for the cases  $vxy = a^j$ ,  $vxy = b^j$ , and *v* (or *y*) contains a mixture of *a* and *b* are quite simple. To complete the proof, show in detail when vxy contains both *a*'s and *b*'s. (Hint: consider the two cases  $N_a(vy) > N_b(vy)$  and  $N_a(vy) \leq N_b(vy)$ .) Sol.
  - If  $N_a(vy) > N_b(vy)$  then we can pump down to get uxz, for which  $N_a(uxz) = p + 1 j$  and  $N_b(uxz) = 2p + 1 k$ with j < k. But 2(p+1-j) = 2p + 1 + 1 - 2j < 2p + 1 - k, since 1 - 2j < -k whenever j < k, so uxz is not in L.
  - If  $N_a(vy) \leq N_b(vy)$ , on the other hand, we can pump up to get a number of b's more than the number of a's: if we use the string  $uv^p xy^p z$ ,  $N_a(uv^p xy^p z) = p + 1 + (p 1)j$  and  $N_b(uv^p xy^p z) = 2p + 1 + (p 1)k$  where  $k \geq j$ . Then  $2N_a(uv^p xy^p z) = 2p + 2 + 2j(p 1) < 2p + 1 + (p 1)k$ .