Turing Machines Recursive/Recursively Enumerable Languages

 \leftarrow

 $2Q$

Schematic of Turing Machines

Figure: Schematic of Turing Machines

- A Turing machine has a finite set of control states.
- A Turing machine reads and writes symbols on an infinite tape.
- A Turing machine starts with an input on the left end of the tape.
- A Turing machine moves its read-write head in both directions.
- A Turing machine outputs accept or reject by entering its accepting or rejecting states respectively.
	- \triangleright A Turing machine need not read all input symbols.
	- \blacktriangleright \blacktriangleright \blacktriangleright \blacktriangleright A Turing machine may not accept nor re[jec](#page-0-0)t [a](#page-2-0)n [in](#page-1-0)[p](#page-2-0)[u](#page-0-0)t[.](#page-9-0)

つへへ

- Consider *B* = { $w \# w : w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ }.
- • M_1 = "On input string *w*:
	- ¹ Record the first uncrossed symbol from the left and cross it. If the first uncrossed symbol is $\#$, go to step [6.](#page-2-1)
	- Move the read-write head to the symbol $#$. If there is no such symbol, reject.
	- ³ Move to the first uncrossed symbol to the right.
	- ⁴ Compare with the symbol recorded at step [1.](#page-2-2) If they are not equal, reject.
	- **Cross the current symbol and go to step [1.](#page-2-2)**
	- \bullet Check if all symbols to the right of $\#$ are crossed. If so, accept; otherwise, reject."

つくへ

すロト (御) すぼ) すぼ) …

Turing Machines – Formal Definition

Definition 1

- A Turing machine is a 7-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}})$ where
	- *Q* is the finite set of states;
	- **•** Σ is the finite input alphabet not containing the blank symbol \cup ;
	- Γ is the finite tape alphabet with $\Box \in \Gamma$ and $\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$;
	- \bullet δ : $Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$ is the transition function;
	- \bullet *q*₀ \in *Q* is the start state;
	- \bullet $q_{\text{accept}} \in Q$ is the accept state; and
	- \bullet *q*_{reject} ∈ *Q* is the reject state with *q*_{reject} \neq *q*_{accept}.
	- We only consider deterministic Turing machines.
	- Initially, a Turing machine receives its input $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n \in \Sigma^*$ on the leftmost *n* cells of the tape.
	- Other cells on the tape contain the blank [sy](#page-2-0)[mb](#page-4-0)[o](#page-2-0)[l](#page-3-0) \sqcup [.](#page-0-0)

 Ω

Computation of Turing Machines

- A configuration of a Turing machine contains its current states, current tape contents, and current head location.
- Let *q* ∈ *Q* and *u*, *v* ∈ Γ. We write *uqv* to denote the configuration where the current state is *q*, the current tape contents is *uv*, and the current head location is the first symbol of *v*.
	- \triangleright When we say "the current tape contents is *uv*," we mean an infinite $\text{tape contains } uv$
- Consider the configuration 001q₂1101. The Turing machine
	- is at the state q_2 ;
	- has the tape contents 0011101; and
	- \blacktriangleright has its head location at the second 1 from the left.

 Ω

Computation of Turing Machines

- Let C_1 and C_2 be configurations. We say C_1 yields C_2 if the Turing machine can go from C_1 to C_2 in one step.
- Formally, let $a, b, c \in \Gamma$, $u, v \in \Gamma^*$, and $q_i, q_j \in Q$.

*uaq*_{*i*}*bv* yields *uq_jacv* if $\gamma(q_i, b) = (q_j, c, L)$ *q*_{*i*}bv yields $q_j c v$ if $\gamma(q_i, b) = (q_j, c, L)$ *uaq*_{*i*}*bv* yields *uacq*_{*j}v* if $\gamma(q_i, b) = (q_j, c, R)$ </sub>

- Note the special case when the current head location is the leftmost cell of the tape.
	- \triangleright A Turing machine updates the leftmost cell without moving its head.
- Recall that $u a q_i$ is in fact $u a q_i$.

つくへ

∢ ロ ▶ (伊) (モ) (モ) (

- The start configuration of *M* on input *w* is *q*0*w*.
- An accepting configuration of *M* is a configuration whose state is *q*accept.
- A rejecting configuration of *M* is a configuration whose state is *q*reject.
- Accepting and rejecting configurations are halting configurations and do not yield further configurations.
	- \triangleright That is, a Turing machine accepts or rejects as soon as it reaches an accepting or rejecting configuration.

つくへ

メイヨメイヨメ

- A Turing machine *M* accepts an input *w* if there is a sequence of configurations C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k such that
	- \triangleright *C*₁ is the start configuration of *M* on input *w*;
	- lacenter **P**_{*i*} vields C_{i+1} ; and
	- \blacktriangleright C_k is an accepting configuration.
- The language of *M* or the language recognized by *M* (written *L*(*M*)) is thus

$$
L(M) = \{w : M \text{ accepts } w\}.
$$

Definition 2

A language is Turing-recognizable or recursively enumerable if some Turing machine recognizes it.

∢ ロ ▶ ∢ 御 ▶ ∢ 重 ▶ ∢ 重 ▶

 $2Q$

Decidable Languages

- When a Turing machine is processing an input, there are three outcomes: accept, reject, or loop.
	- \blacktriangleright "Loop" means it never enters a halting configuration.
- A deterministic finite automaton or deterministic pushdown automaton have only two outcomes: accept or reject.
- For a nondeterministic finite automaton or nondeterminsitic pushdown automaton, it can also loop.
	- \blacktriangleright "Loop" means it does not finish reading the input (ϵ -transitions).
- A Turing machine that halts on all inputs is called a decider.
- When a decider recognizes a language, we say it decides the language.

Definition 3

A language is Turing-decidable (decidable, or recursive) if some Turing machine decides it.

Turing Machines – Example

- We now formally define $M_1 = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_1, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}})$ which decides $B = \{w \# w : w \in \{0, 1\}^*\}.$
- $Q = \{q_1, \ldots, q_{14}, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}}\};$
- $\Omega = \{0, 1, \#\}$ and $\Gamma = \{0, 1, \#, x, \Box\}.$

- Recall that the transition function of a Turing machine indicate whether its read-write head moves left or right.
- Consider a new Turing machine whose head can stay.
- \bullet Hence we have δ : $Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R, S\}.$
- Is the new Turing machine more powerful?
- Of course not, we can always simulate *S* by an *R* and then an *L*.

つへへ

- A multitape Turing machine has several tapes.
- Initially, the input appears on the tape 1.
- If a multitape Turing machine has *k* tapes, its transition function now becomes

$$
\delta:Q\times \Gamma^k\to Q\times \Gamma^k\times \{L,R\}^k
$$

- $\delta(q_i, a_1, \ldots, a_k) = (q_j, b_1, \ldots, b_k, d_1, \ldots, d_k)$ means that if the machine is in state q_i and reads a_i from tape i for $1 \leq i \leq k$, it goes to state q_j , writes b_i to tape i for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and moves the tape head *i* towards the direction d_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- Are multitape Turing machines more powerful than signel-tape Turing machines?

 Ω

イロト イ部 トイヨ トイヨ トーヨ

Multitape Turing Machines

Theorem 4

Every multitape Turing machine has an equivalent single-tape Turing machine.

Proof.

We use a special new symbol $#$ to separate contents of k tapes. Moreover, *k* marks are used to record locations of the *k* virtual heads. $S = "On input w = w_1w_2\cdots w_n$:

- **•** Write w in the correct format: $\#\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \# \overset{\bullet}{\Box} \#\tilde{\Box} \# \cdots \#$.
- ² Scan the tape and record all symbols under virtual heads. Then update the symbols and virtual heads by the transition function of the *k*-tape Turing machine.
- ³ If *S* moves a virtual head to the right onto a #, *S* writes a blank symbol and shifts the tape contents from this cell to the rightmost # one cell to the right. Then *S* resumes si[mu](#page-11-0)[la](#page-13-0)[ti](#page-11-0)[on](#page-12-0)[.](#page-13-0)["](#page-10-0)

Multitape Turing Machines

• A "mark" is in fact a different tape symbol.

- Say the tape alphabet of the multitape TM *M* is $\{0, 1, a, b, \ldots\}$.
- Then *S* has the tape alphabet $\{\text{#}, 0, 1, a, b, \ldots, 0, 1, a, b, \ldots\}$.

Corollary 5

A language is Turing-Recognizable if and only if some multitape Turing machine recognizes it.

つへへ

∢ □ ▶ ∢ ∂P

Turing Machines with 2-way Infinite Tape

Theorem 6

A TM with a 2-way infinite tape can be simulated by one with a 1-way infinite tape.

- A nondeterministic Turing machine has its transition function of type δ : $Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}).$
- Is nondeterministic Turing machines more powerful than deterministic Turing machines?
	- \blacktriangleright Recall that nondeterminism does not increase the expressive power in finite automata.
	- \triangleright Yet nondeterminism does increase the expressive power in pushdown automata.

つくへ

ドメ ミドメ ミド

Theorem 7

Every nondeterministic Turing machine has an equivalent deterministic Turing machine.

Proof.

Nondeterministic computation can be seen as a tree. The root is the start configuration. The children of a tree node are all possible configurations yielded by the node. By ordering children of a node, we associate an address with each node. For instance, ϵ is the root; 1 is the first child of the root; 21 is the first child of the second child of the root. We simulate an NTM *N* with a 3-tape DTM *D*. Tape 1 contains the input; tape 2 is the working space; and tape 3 records the address of the current configuration.

Let *b* be the maximal number of choices allowed in *N*. Define $\Sigma_b = \{1, 2, \ldots, b\}$. We now describe the Turing machine *D*.

Nondeterministic Turing Machines

Proof.

- ¹ Initially, tape 1 contains the input *w*; tape 2 and 3 are empty.
- **2** Copy tape 1 to tape 2.
- ³ Simulate *N* from the start state on tape 2 according to the address on tape 3.
	- When compute the next configuration, choose the transition by the next symbol on tape 3.
	- If no more symbol is on tape 3, the choice is invalid, or a rejecting configuration is yielded, go to step [4.](#page-17-0)
	- If an accepting configuration is yielded, accept the input.
- **4** Replace the string on tape 3 with the next string lexicographically and go to step [2.](#page-17-1)
	- Observe the *D* simulates *N* by breadth.
		- \triangleright Can we simulate by depth?

つくへ

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

Corollary 8

A language is Turing-recognizable if and only if some nondeterministic Turing machine recognizes it.

- A nondeterministic Turing machine is a decider if all branches halt on all inputs.
- If the NTM *N* is a decider, a slight modification of the proof makes *D* always halt. (How?)

Corollary 9

A language is decidable if and only if some nondeterministic Turing machine decides it.

∢ ロ ▶ ∢ 御 ▶ ∢ 走 ▶ ∢ 走 ▶

 QQ

Schematic of Enumerators

Figure: Schematic of Enumerators

- An enumerator is a Turing machine with a printer.
- An enumerator starts with a blank input tape.
- An enumerator outputs a string by sending it to the printer.
- The language enumerated by an enumerator is the set of strings printed by the enumerator.
	- \triangleright Since an enumerator may not halt, it may output an infinite number of strings.
	- An enumerator may output the same str[ing](#page-18-0) [se](#page-20-0)[v](#page-18-0)[er](#page-19-0)[a](#page-20-0)[l](#page-18-0) [t](#page-19-0)[i](#page-21-0)[m](#page-22-0)[e](#page-9-0)[s.](#page-10-0)

つひひ

Enumerators

Theorem 10

A language is Turing-recognizable if and only if some enumerator enumerates it.

Proof.

```
Let E be an enumerator. Consider the following TM M:
M = "On input w:
```
- ¹ Run *E* and compare any output string with *w*.
- ² Accept if *E* ever outputs *w*."
- Conversely, let *M* be a TM recognizing *A*. Consider
- $E =$ "Ignore the input.
	- **1** Repeat for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$
		- **1** Let s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_i be the first *i* strings in Σ^* (say, lexicographically).
		- **2** Run *M* for *i* steps on each of s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_i .
		- **3** If *M* accepts s_j for $1 \leq j \leq i$, output s_j .

Enumerators

Theorem 11

A language is Turing-decidable if and only if some enumerator enumerates it in lexicographical order.

Proof.

Let *E* be an enumerator. Consider the following TM *M*: $M = "On input w:$

- ¹ Run *E* and compare each generated output string with *w*.
- **2** Accept if *E* ever outputs *w*; reject if *E* outputs a *w'* with $w < w''$

Conversely, let *M* be a TM deciding *A*, and assume that $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$. $E =$ "Ignore the input.

- **1** Repeat for $w = \epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, \ldots$
	- \bullet Run *M* on *w*;
	- ² If *M* accepts *w*, output *s^j* ;
	- ³ If *M* rejects *w*, exit
- Let us suppose we lived before the invention of computers.
	- \triangleright say, circa 300 BC, around the time of Euclid.
- Consider the following problem: Given two positive integers *a* and *b*, find the largest integer *r* such that *r* divides *a* and *r* divides *b*.
- How do we "find" such an integer?
- Euclid's method is in fact an algorithm.
- Keep in mind that the concept of algorithms has been in mathematics long before the advent of computer science.

つくへ

Hilbert's Problems

- Mathematician David Hilbert listed 23 problems in 1900.
	- \blacktriangleright These problems are challenges for mathematicians in 20th century.
- His 10th problem is to devise "a process according to which it can be determined by a finite number of operations," that tests whether a polynomial has an integral root.
	- \triangleright In other words, Hilbert wants to find an algorithm to test whether a polynomial has an integral root.
- If such an algorithm exists, we just need to invent it.
- What if there is no such algorithm?
	- \blacktriangleright How can we argue Hilbert's 10th problem has no solution?
- We need a precise definition of algorithm[s!](#page-22-0)

 290

Church-Turing Thesis

- In 1936, two papers came up with definitions of algorithms.
- Alonzo Church used λ -calculus to define algorithms.
	- If you don't know λ -calculus, take Programming Languages.
- Alan Turing used Turing machines to define algorithms.
	- If you don't know TM now, please consider dropping this course.

(□) (_①

- It turns out that both definitions are equivalent!
- The connection between the informal concept of algorithms and the formal definitions is called the Church-Turing thesis.

つへへ

- **In 1970, Yuri Matijasevič showed that Hilbert's 10th problem is** not solvable.
	- \triangleright That is, there is no algorithm for testing whether a polynomial has an integral root.
- Define $D = \{p : p \text{ is a polynomial with an integral root}\}.$
- Consider the following TM:
	- *M* = "The input is a polynomial *p* over variables x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k
		- ¹ Evaluate *p* on an enumeration of *k*-tuple of integers.
		- 2 If *p* ever evaluates to 0, accept."
- *M* recognizes *D* but does not decide *D*.

 Ω

→ イ君→ イ君→

Encodings of Turing Machines

To represent a Turing machine

$$
M = (Q, \{0, 1\}, \Gamma, \delta, q_1, B, F)
$$

as a binary string, we must first assign integers to the states, tape symbols, and directions *L* and *R*:

- Assume the states are $q_1, q_2, ..., q_r$ for some *r*. The start state is q_1 , and the only accepting state is q_2 .
- Assume the tape symbols are $X_1, X_2, ..., X_s$ for some *s*. Then: $0 = X_1$, $1 = X_2$, and $B = X_3$.
- $L = D_1$ and $R = D_2$.
- Encode the transition rule $\delta(q_i, X_j) = (q_k, X_l, D_m)$ by $0^i10^j10^k10^l10^m$. Note that there are no two consecutive 1s.
- Encode an entire Turing machine by concatenating, in any order, the codes Ci of its transition rules, separated by $11 : C_1 11C_2 11 \cdots C_{n-1} 11C_n$ イロトス 御下ス ヨトス ヨトッ ヨ $2Q$

Example

 $M = (\{q_1, q_2, q_3\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 1, B\}, \delta, q_1, B, \{q_2\})$ where δ is defined by: $\delta(q_1, 1) = (q_3, 0, R), \, \delta(q_3, 0) = (q_1, 1, R), \, \delta(q_3, 1) = (q_2, 0, R)$, and $\delta(q_3, B) = (q_3, 1, L).$

- Codes for the transition rules: 0100100010100 0001010100100 00010010010100 0001000100010010
- Code for M: 010010001010011000101010010011 00010010010100110001000100010010

Given a Turing machine *M* with code *wⁱ* , we can now associate an integer to it: *M* is the *i*th Turing machine, referred to as *Mⁱ* . Many integers do no correspond to any Turing machine at all. Examples: 11001 and 001110.

If *wⁱ* is not a valid TM code, then we shall take Mi to be the Turing machine (with one state and no transitions) that immediately halts on any input. Hen[c](#page-26-0)[e](#page-28-0) $L(M_i) = \emptyset$ if w_i is not a valid [T](#page-26-0)[M](#page-28-0) c[od](#page-27-0)e[.](#page-21-0) $2Q$

(□) (f)

Relationship among Languages

Figure: Relationship among Different Languages

→ イ君→ イ君→

(□) (n)

 $2Q$

• Consider

 $A_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle : M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts } w \}$

- Consider the following TM:
	- $U =$ "On input $\langle M, w \rangle$ where *M* is a TM and *w* is a string:
		- ¹ Simulate *M* on the input *w*.
		- 2 If *M* enters its accept state, accept; if *M* enters its reject state, reject."
- Does *U* decide A_{TM} ? Why not?
- The TM *U* is called the universal Turing machine.

つくへ

Counting Arguments

- Recall that $|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{Z}| = |\Sigma^*| = \aleph_0$ (Σ is finite).
- Also recall that $|\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)| > \aleph_0$.
	- \triangleright Consult your textbook or my notes on discrete mathematics if you are not sure.

Corollary 12

Some languages are not Turing-recognizable.

Proof.

The set of all Turing machines is countable since each TM *M* has an encoding $\langle M \rangle$ in Σ^* . The set of all languages over Σ is $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$ and hence is uncountable. Hence some languages are not Turing-recognizable.

- There are in fact uncountably many languages that cannot be recognized by Turing machines.
- Can we find a concrete example?

 $2Q$

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

Undecidability of the Acceptance Problem for TM's

Theorem 13

 $A_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle : M \text{ is a TM and M accepts } w \}$ *is not a decidable language.*

Proof.

Suppose there is a TM H deciding A_{TM} . That is,

 $H(\langle M, w \rangle) = \begin{cases} \begin{array}{c} \text{accept} & \text{if } M \text{ accepts } w \\ \text{reject} & \text{if } M \text{ does not} \end{array} \end{cases}$ reject if *M* does not accept *w*

Consider the following TM: $D = "On input \langle M \rangle$ where *M* is a TM:

- **1** Run *H* on the input $\langle M, \langle M \rangle \rangle$.
- ² If *H* accepts, reject. If *H* rejects, accept."

Consider

$$
D(\langle D \rangle) = \begin{cases} \text{ accept} & \text{if } D \text{ does not accept } \langle D \rangle \\ \text{reject} & \text{if } D \text{ accepts } \langle D \rangle \end{cases}
$$

A contradiction.

A Turing-unrecognizable Language

A language is co-Turing-recognizable if it is the complement of a Turing-recognizable language.

Theorem 14

A language is decidable if and only if it is Turing-recognizable and co-Turing-recognizable.

Proof.

If *A* is decidable, then *A* and \overline{A} are both recognizable. Since $\overline{A} = A$, *A* is Turing-recognizable and co-Turing-recognizable. Now suppose A and \overline{A} are Turing-recognizable by M_1 and M_2 respectively. Consider $M = "On input w$:

- **1** Run both M_1 and M_2 on the input *w* in parallel.
- ² If *M*¹ accepts, accept; if *M*² accepts; reject.["](#page-31-0)

Corollary 15

ATM is not Turing-recognizable.

Proof.

 A_{TM} is Turing-recognizable. If $\overline{A_{TM}}$ is Turing-recognizable, A_{TM} is both Turing-recognizable and co-Turing-recognizable. By Theorem [14,](#page-32-0) A_{TM} is decidable. A contradiction.

つくい