

Theory of Computation  
Final Exam. 2007

NAME: .....

Student ID.: .....

1 (72 pts)) True or false? (Score = Right -  $\frac{1}{2}$  Wrong.) Mark 'O' for true; 'x' for false.

1. ....O.....  $\{a^i | i \text{ is prime}\}$  is not context free.
2. ....X.....  $\{(a^n b)^n | n \geq 1\}$  is context free.
3. ....X.....  $\{(a^n b)^m | m, n \geq 1\}$  is context free.
4. ....O..... If  $L_1$  is context free and  $L_2$  is regular, then  $L_1/L_2$  is context free. (Note that  $L_1/L_2 = \{x \mid \exists y \in L_2, xy \in L_1\}$ )
5. ....X..... If  $L_1/L_2$  and  $L_1$  are context free, then  $L_2$  must be recursive.
6. ....X..... If  $L_1$  and  $L_1 \cup L_2$  are context free, then  $L_2$  must be context free.
7. ....O..... If  $L_1$  is context free and  $L_2$  is regular, then  $L_1 - L_2$  is context free.
8. ....X..... If  $L_1$  is regular and  $L_2$  is context free, then  $L_1 - L_2$  is context free.
9. ....O..... If  $L_1$  is regular and  $L_2$  is context-free, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  must be a CFL.
10. ....O..... If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are CFLs, then  $L_1 \cup L_2$  must be a CFL.
11. ....O..... If  $L$  is context free, then  $L^R (= \{x^R | x \in L\})$  is also context free.
12. ....X..... Nondeterministic and deterministic versions of PDAs are equivalent.
13. ....O..... If a language  $L$  does not satisfy the conditions stated in the pumping lemma for CFLs, then  $L$  is not context-free.
14. ....X..... Every infinite set of strings over a single letter alphabet  $\Sigma (= \{a\})$  contains an infinite context free subset.
15. ....X..... Every infinite context-free set contains an infinite regular subset.
16. ....O..... A language can be accepted by a nondeterministic pushdown automaton iff it can be generated by a context-free grammar.
17. ....O..... Right-linear grammars are special cases of context-free grammars.
18. ....X..... If both  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  are context-free, then  $L$  must be regular.
19. ....O..... There is a language  $L$  which is context-free but not regular such that  $\bar{L}$  is also context-free.
20. ....O.....  $\{xxxx | x \in \{0,1\}^*\}$  can be accepted by a deterministic 2-counter machine.
21. ....O..... Given a TM  $M$  whose tape head can move left, right, or stay stationary, the problem of determining whether  $M$  ever executes a stationary move is undecidable. (A stationary move is a transition without moving the tape head.)
22. ....O..... Given a TM  $M$ , the problem of determining ' $L(M) = \emptyset$ ?' is undecidable.

23. ....O..... Given two languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , if  $L_1 \leq_m \bar{L}_2$ , then  $\bar{L}_1 \leq_m L_2$ . ( $\leq_m$  denotes many-one reduction.)
24. ....O..... If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are r.e., so is  $L_1 L_2$ .
25. ....O..... If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are recursive, so is  $L_1 - L_2$ .
26. ....X..... The language  $\{ \langle M, x \rangle \mid \text{TM } M \text{ does not accept input } x \}$  is r.e. ( $\langle M, x \rangle$  denotes the encoding of the pair  $M, x$ .)
27. ....X..... There exists a language  $L$  such that  $L$  is context free but  $\bar{L}$  is not recursive.
28. ....O..... Given a PDA  $M$ , the problem of determining whether  $M$  accepts an infinite language is decidable.
29. ....X..... Given two PDA  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , the problem of determining whether  $L(M_1) \cap L(M_2) = \emptyset$  is decidable.
30. ....X..... Given two regular languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , the problem ‘Is  $L_2 - L_1 = \emptyset$ ?’ is undecidable.
31. ....X..... Let  $L_1$  be regular and  $L_2$  recursively enumerable. Then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  is always recursive.
32. ....X..... The union of infinitely many recursive languages is an r.e. language.
33. ....X..... Given an input  $x$  and a multi-tape DTM  $M$ , the problem of determining whether  $M$  ever reads  $x$ ’s right-most symbol is decidable.
34. ....X..... The family of languages accepted by deterministic TMs is closed under complement.
35. ....O..... Given a TM  $M$  and an input  $w$ , the problem of determining whether  $M$  (on input  $w$ ) enters some state more than 100 times is decidable.
36. ....X..... Every infinite subset of an infinite non-regular language is non-regular.
37. ....O..... If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are context-free languages, then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  must be a recursive language.
38. ....X..... If  $L_1$  and  $L_3$  are r.e. languages and  $L_1 - L_2 = L_3$ , then  $L_2$  must be an r.e. language.
39. ....X..... Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be two languages over  $\Sigma$ . If  $L_1 \leq_p^m L_2$  and  $L_2 \leq_p^m L_1$ , then  $L_1 = L_2$ . ( $\leq_p^m$  denotes polynomial-time many-one reduction.)
40. ....X..... Given a recursive language  $L$ , the problem of determining whether  $L = \emptyset$  is decidable.
41. ....X.....  $\{ \langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \text{ is regular, } M \text{ is a TM} \}$  is recursive. ( $\langle M \rangle$  denotes the encoding of TM  $M$ .)
42. ....X.....  $\{ L \mid L = \Sigma^* \text{ or } L = \emptyset \}$  is a trivial property of r.e. sets.
43. ....O/X..... Given a TM  $M$  and an input  $x$ , it is decidable whether  $M$  ever makes two consecutive right-moves (i.e., a right-move followed by a right-move immediately) during the course of its computation on input  $x$ .
44. ....X..... Given a TM  $M$  and a symbol  $x \in \Sigma$ , it is decidable whether  $M$  (starting on a blank tape) ever writes  $x$  on its tape.
45. ....O..... Every primitive recursive function is a total function.
46. ....O..... Ackermann’s function is a total recursive function.
47. ....O..... Nondeterministic 1-counter machines are less powerful than deterministic 2-counter machines.

48. ....X..... Given a context-free language  $L_1$  and a recursive language  $L_2$ , it is undecidable whether  $L_1 \subseteq L_2$ .
49. ....X..... Rice's theorem is a useful tool for showing a language to be recursive.
50. ....X..... If  $L$  and  $L^R$  (the reversal of  $L$ ) are both in r.e., then  $L$  must be recursive.
51. ....X..... Given a recursive set  $L$  and a regular set  $R$ , it is decidable whether  $L \subseteq R$ .
52. ....X..... Given a recursive set  $L$  and a regular set  $R$ , it is decidable whether  $R \subseteq L$ .
53. ....O..... Given a nondeterministic finite automaton  $M$  it is decidable whether the language accepted by  $M$  is finite or not.
54. ....O..... The  $L_u$  language (i.e., the universal language) is many-one reducible to the PCP language (the language associated with the Post correspondence problem).
55. ....O..... Given a left-linear grammar  $G$ , it is decidable whether  $L(G) = \Sigma^*$ .
56. ....O..... Recursive languages are closed under Kleene star (i.e., if  $L$  is recursive, so is  $L^*$ ).
57. ....O..... Recursively enumerable languages are closed under Kleene star.
58. ....O..... The function  $f(n) = 2^{f(n-1)}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ;  $f(0) = 1$  is primitive recursive.
59. ....X..... For every language  $L \subseteq 0^*$ ,  $L$  is always r.e.
60. ....X..... Every total function  $f : N \rightarrow N$  is a recursive function. ( $f$  is total if  $f(x)$  is defined for every  $x \in N$ .)
61. ....X..... With respect to a given input, checking whether a C program terminates or not is decidable.
62. ....O..... The language  $\{a^n b^m c^n d^m \mid m, n \geq 1\}$  can be accepted by a deterministic TM in polynomial time (i.e., in P).
63. ....O.....  $\{(a^i b^i)^j \mid i, j \in N\}$  is in P.
64. ....O..... The class of NP languages is closed under intersection.
65. ....O..... The class of NP languages is closed under concatenation.
66. ....O..... For every language  $L \in P$ ,  $L \leq_p^m 3SAT$ .
67. ....X..... If  $L = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_i$ , and each  $L_i \in NP$ , then  $L \in NP$ .
68. ....X..... Given a context-free grammar  $G$  and a word  $x$ , the problem 'Is  $x \in L(G)$ ?' is NP-complete.
69. ....X..... If  $\{ww^R \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$  is solvable in polynomial time, then  $P = NP$ . ( $w^R$  denotes the reversal of word  $w$ .)
70. ....X..... If  $L_2 \subseteq L_1$ , and  $L_2$  is NP-hard, then  $L_1$  must be NP-hard as well.
71. ....X..... The minimum spanning tree problem is NP-complete.
72. ....X..... If some NP-complete language is solvable in polynomial time, then the PCP problem becomes solvable (i.e., recursive).

2. (20 pts) Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be languages in the respective language class, and let  $R$  be a regular language, and  $x$  be a given word over alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Choosing from among (D) decidable, (U) undecidable, (?) open problem, categorize each of the following decision problems. No proofs are required. No penalty for wrong answer.

Language class / Problem	regular	context-free	recursive	r.e.
$L_1 \cup L_2 = \Sigma^*$ ?	D	U	U	U
$x \in L_1$ ?	D	D	D	U
$R \subseteq L_1$ ?	D	U	U	U
$L_1 - R = \emptyset$ ?	D	D	U	U
$\exists y \in L_1,  y  \leq 5$ ?	D	D	D	U

( $|y|$  denotes the length of  $y$ .)

3. (8 pts) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (the set of natural numbers), let  $C_n$  be a subset of NP which is closed under polynomial-time many-one reduction (i.e., if  $L_1 \leq_p^m L_2$  and  $L_2 \in C_n$ , then  $L_1 \in C_n$ ).

Assume also that each  $C_n \subsetneq C_{n+1}$ , and let  $C = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n$ . Show that  $C \neq NP$ . Give a brief but convincing argument.

(Proof sketch)

It is known that NP contains some complete languages such as 3SAT. If  $C = NP$ , then  $3SAT \in C_j$ , for some  $j$ . Due to the definition of "completeness",  $\forall L \in C (= NP), L \leq_p^m 3SAT$ . This implies that  $\forall L' \in C_{j+1} (\subseteq C), L' \leq_p^m 3SAT$ ; hence,  $L' \in C_j$ . We have that  $C_{j+1} = C_j$  - a contradiction.