

Splay Trees


Basic Idea

- Invented by Sleator and Tarjan (1985)
- Blind rebalancing – no height info kept!
- Worst-case time per operation is $O(n)$
- Worst-case *amortized time* is $O(\log n)$
- Insert/find always rotates node to the root!
- Good locality:
 - ▶ Most commonly accessed keys move high in tree – become easier and easier to find
 - ▶ Incorporate *Move-to-Top* strategy

move n to root by series of *zig-zag* and *zig-zig* rotations, followed by a final *single rotation (zig)* if necessary



You're forced to make a really deep access:

Since you're down there anyway, fix up a lot of deep nodes!



A Simple Idea (That Does Not Work)

- Perform single rotations bottom up.




- There is a sequence of M operations requiring $\Omega(M \cdot N)$ time, so this idea is not quite good enough.

Splaying

Splay(x): do following rotations until x is the root.


- right (or left): if x has no grandparent.



Right rotation at x (and left rotation at y)

Splaying (Cont'd)


- zig-zag (or zag-zig): if one of x , $p(x)$ is a left child and the other is a right child.



zig-zag at x

Splaying (Cont'd)

- zig-zig: if x and $p(x)$ are either both left children or both right children.




zig-zig at x

Why Splaying Helps

- Node n and its children are always helped (raised)
- Except for last step, nodes that are hurt by a *zig-zag* or *zig-zig* are later helped by a rotation higher up the tree!
- Result:
 - ▶ shallow nodes may increase depth by one or two
 - ▶ helped nodes decrease depth by a large amount
- If a node n on the access path is at depth d before the splay, it is at about depth $d/2$ after the splay
 - ▶ Exceptions are the root, the child of the root, and the node splayed


Splaying Example

- Example. Splay(1)




Splaying Example (Cont'd)

- Example. Splay(1)




Splaying Example (Cont'd)

- Example. Splay(1)




Splaying Example (Cont'd)

- Example. Splay(1)




Splaying Example (Cont'd)

- Example. Splay(1)



Splaying Example (Cont'd)

- Example. Splay(1)



- Locality – if an item is accessed, it is likely to be accessed again soon
 - ▶ Why?
- Assume $m \geq n$ access in a tree of size n
 - ▶ Total worst case time is $O(m \log n)$
 - ▶ $O(\log n)$ per access amortized time
- Suppose only k distinct items are accessed in the m accesses.
 - ▶ Time is $O(n \log n + m \log k)$
 - ★ $O(n \log n)$ – getting those k items near root
 - ★ $m \log k$ – those k items are all at the top of the tree
 - ▶ Compare with $O(m \log n)$ for AVL tree

Splay Operations: Insert


- To insert, could do an ordinary BST insert
 - ▶ but would not fix up tree
 - ▶ A BST insert followed by a find (splay)?
- Better idea: do the splay before the insert!
- How?
Split(T, x) creates two BSTs L and R
 - ▶ All elements of T are in either L or R
 - ▶ All elements in L are $\leq x$
 - ▶ All elements in R are $> x$
 - ▶ L and R share no elements

Insert as root, with children L and R


Splitting in Splay Trees

- How can we split?
 - ▶ We have the splay operation
 - ▶ We can find x or the parent of where x would be if we were to insert it as an ordinary BST
 - ▶ We can splay x or the parent to the root
 - ▶ Then break one of the links from the root to a child

Split



Back to Insert




Insert (x) :


Split on x

Join subtrees using x as root

Insert Example




Delete




Now what?

- $\text{Join}(L, R)$: given two trees such that $L < R$, merge them




- Splay on the maximum element in L then attach R

Delete Completed




Delete Example

Delete(4)




Top-down Splay

- **Case 1:** X is the node we are splaying




- **Case 2: (zig-zig)** The node we are splaying is in the subtree rooted at X




Top-down Splay (Cont'd)


- **Case 3: (zig-zag)** The node we are splaying is in the subtree rooted at X




- **Case 4: (the last step)** X is the node we wish to splay



Example Splay at B



Example Splay at B (Cont'd)



Summary

- Splay trees are arguably the most practical kind of self-balancing trees
- If number of finds is much larger than n , then locality is crucial!
- Also supports efficient Split and Join operations – useful for other tasks